

On Dynamic Parallelism Adjustment Mechanism for Data Transfer Protocol GridFTP

Takeshi Itou, Hiroyuki Ohsaki
Graduate School of Information Sci. & Tech.
Osaka University, Japan
t-itou@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp

1

1. State Goals and Define the System

- ✓ Goals
 - ✓ Quantitatively evaluate performance of DPAM
 - ✓ DPAM: Dynamic Parallelism Adjustment Mechanism
 - ✓ Show pros/cons of four DPAM operations modes (MI, MI+, AIMD, GSS)
 - ✓ Confirm feasibility of DPAM in realistic environment
- ✓ System Definition
 - ✓ SUT (System Under Test)
 - ✓ Grid computing environment including...
 - ✓ Underlying IP network (routers and links)
 - ✓ Grid middleware (GridFTP server and client)
 - ✓ End hosts
 - ✓ CUS (Component Under Study)
 - ✓ DPAM for GridFTP client with four operation modes

2

2. List Services and Outcomes

- ✓ Services Provided
 - ✓ Reliable data transfer between GridFTP server and client
- ✓ Outcomes
 - ✓ High link bandwidth utilization?
 - ✓ Low packet loss probability?
 - ✓ Low packet transfer delay?

3

3. Select Metrics

- ✓ Speed (case of successful service case)
 - ✓ Individual
 - ✓ Goodput, latency, packet loss probability
 - ✓ Global
 - ✓ Queue occupancy, link utilization, packet loss probability
- ✓ Reliability (case of error)
 - ✓ None
- ✓ Availability (case of unavailability)
 - ✓ None

4

4. List Parameters

- ✓ System parameters
 - ✓ Network related
 - ✓ Topology
 - ✓ Link bandwidth, latency, loss ratio
 - ✓ Queue size, queue discipline
 - ✓ Host related
 - ✓ TCP socket buffer size
 - ✓ MTU, TCP version
 - ✓ DPAM related
 - ✓ Operation mode (MI, MI+, AIMD, GSS)
 - ✓ Control parameters: , , , NO, X, M
- ✓ Workload parameters
 - ✓ # of GridFTP sessions, request arrival rate, file size distribution
 - ✓ Background traffic pattern

5

5. Select Factors to Study

- ✓ System parameters
 - ✓ Network related
 - ✓ Topology
 - ✓ Link bandwidth, latency, loss ratio
 - ✓ Queue size, queue discipline
 - ✓ Host related
 - ✓ TCP socket buffer size
 - ✓ MTU, TCP version
 - ✓ DPAM related
 - ✓ Operation mode (MI, MI+, AIMD, GSS)
 - ✓ Control parameters: NO, X, M
- ✓ Workload parameters
 - ✓ # of GridFTP sessions, request arrival rate, file size distribution
 - ✓ Background traffic pattern

6

6. Select Evaluation Technique

- ✓ Use analytical modeling?
 - ✓ No
- ✓ Use simulation?
 - ✓ Yes
- ✓ Use measurement of real system?
 - ✓ No

7

7. Select Workload

- ✓ GridFTP
 - ✓ # of GridFTP sessions: 1 - 100
 - ✓ Request arrival rate: 0 - 100% of utilization
 - ✓ File size distribution: random with avg. of 100MB – 1TB
- ✓ Background traffic
 - ✓ 0 -- 70% of the bottleneck link bandwidth

8

8. Design Experiments

- ✓ First Phase (many factors & few levels)
 - ✓ System parameters
 - ✓ Network related
 - ✓ Topology (Butterfly)
 - ✓ Link bandwidth (100M, 1Gbps), latency (10, 100ms), loss ratio
 - ✓ Queue size (100, 1000packet), queue discipline (DropTail/RED)
 - ✓ Host related
 - ✓ TCP socket buffer size (64K, 1MB)
 - ✓ MTU, TCP version
 - ✓ DPAM related
 - ✓ Operation mode (M, MI+, AIMD, GSS)
 - ✓ Control parameters: (5, 1, 2), (25, 5, 75), (1.5, 2, 3), (7, 8, 9), NO(1, 2, 4), X(10, 100, 1000MB), M(1, 4, 8)
 - ✓ Workload parameters
 - ✓ # of GridFTP sessions (1, 10, 100), request arrival rate (50, 75%), file size distribution (100M, 10GB)
 - ✓ Background traffic pattern (0%)
- ✓ Second Phase (few factors & many levels)
 - ✗ not yet

9

9. Analyze and Interpret Data

- ✓ Quantitatively evaluate performance of DPAM
 - ✓ When does DPAM work well/poorly?
 - ✓ How does DPAM work well/poorly?
 - ✓ Why does DPAM work well/poorly?
- ✓ Show pros/cons of four DPAM operation modes
 - ✓ What are pros of each DPAM operation mode?
 - ✓ What are cons of each DPAM operation mode?
 - ✓ Which operation mode is most/least effective?
- ✓ Confirm feasibility of DPAM in realistic environment
 - ✓ Is DPAM feasible in realistic environment?

10

10. Present Results

	link bandwidth	latency	queue size	queue discipline	TCP socket buffer size	operation mode	No	X	M	# of GridFTP sessions	request arrival rate	file size distribution	background traffic pattern
goodput	↗	↘	→	↘	↗								
latency													
packet loss probability (individual)													
queue occupancy													
link utilization													
packet loss probability (global)													

11